General Plan 2020 Steering Committee Meeting July 22, 2000 Minutes

Attendees:

George Vanek Alpine

Mary Lou Quick Borrego Springs

Chuck T. Davis
Crosby H. Milne
Marsha Mann
Descanso
Jerry Barber
Delil Ross
Bonsall
Boulevard
Descanso
Descanso
Fallbrook

David Odell Hidden Meadows

Shirley Fisher Jacumba
Dan Neirinckx Jamul/Dulzura

Gene Helsel Julien
Rick Smith Lakeside
Gordon Hammers Potrero

Brenda Foreman Ramona Community Planning Group

Marilyn Wilkinson Spring Valley Richard Stringham Tecate Sandra Farrell Twin Oaks Gil Jemmott Twin Oaks H.L Palmer Twin Oaks Jack Phillips Valle de Oro Larry Glavinic Valley Center Phil Geddes Valley Center

Visitors

Janet AndersonNatural History MuseumDaniel FriedlanderStephenson WorleyJoan KearneyProperty Owner

And others

Planning Commissioners:

Michael Beck Leon D. Brookes David Kreitzer

County:

Gary Pryor (DPLU) Neal LaMontagne (DPLU) Aaron Barling (DPLU) Chantelle Swaby (DPLU) Meeting commenced at 9:05 am

First Agenda Item:

Jack Phillips opened the discussion on the proposed formula which would take care of exclusion of acreage in steep slope areas. The rationale under debate is whether the threshold for exculsion should be 20 or 40 acre parcels.

Comments:

Under the assumption that everything lower than 20 acres have no reduction in net density due to slope, it was proposed that exclusionary acreage should not be reduced below 1 d.u./20 acres. This formula tries to make sure that if an individual community wants a more restrictive slope development criteria (slopes that they want to protect), then they can apply this formula. Action:

Motion passed to accept the RPO standard for slopes as "Yield reduction for slope will not be more restrictive than 1 d.u./20 acres"

Second Agenda Item

The following policy change recommendations by the Interest Group were rejected or supported by the Steering Committee.

Circulation

Standard

"LOS D will be the standard for Circulation Element roads, greater than residential collectors" *Interest Group Recommendation:*

to be replaced by :"LOS C with exceptions identified in plan"

Action:

The recommendation was unanimously rejected. The record shall show that Ramona, Valley Center, Descanso, and Fallbrook were against this recommendation.

<u>Floodways</u>

Standard

100% protection of fllodways. No encroachment allowed except for public infrastructure (bridges, pipelines etc.).

Interest Group Recommendation:

100% portection of floodways. No encroachment allowed except minimum amount necessary for public infrastructure (bridges, pipelines, etc).

Action:

The recommendation was rejected due to a 'no majority' decision (50/50).

Wetlands

Standard

"No net loss of wetlands"

Interest Group Recommendation

amend to read--"no net loss of wetland acreage, function or value"

Action:

Unanimous motion to accept this recommendation.

Parks

Standard:

Local: 6.5 acres per 1000 population (0-.5 acres mini-parks, 2 acres neighborhood parks, 4 acres community parks

Interest Group Recommendation:

Recommended 10.5 acre/1000

Action:

The recommendation was rejected by the Steering Group.

Proposal From Interest Group regarding Draft Density Categories

The Interest Group recommended density categories which would result in a much more compressed graduation in density rather than a gradual residential sprawl. Comments:

Based upon previous consultations with their communities, many Steering Committee members believed that this new proposition would not be a good fit.

Action:

When brought forward, the Steering Committee unanimously agreed to reject this recommendation.

Third Agenda Item

Alternative III Review Process

Testing of Alternative 3 will be done from August to September. DPLU will bring back map information to the communities once the initial testing has been done, (4-6 weeks -tentative). Need all changes requested by planning and sponsor groups by Oct. 31st, according to timeline. Some members were concerned that with election replacements of planning group members in January, this would cause a glitch in the 2020 plan process if the process wasn't finished by this time. Recommended that the process be finished by January. In the meantime, communities need to begin process of discussions regarding changes proposed for GP2020 maps.

The next meeting is scheduled for Saturday, September 9th.

Meeting adjourned at 11:20 am